

PATENT  
Customer No. 22,852  
Attorney Docket No. 04329.3176



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of:

Toshikazu MORISAWA

Application No.: 10/705,835

Filed: November 13, 2003

For: ELECTRONIC APPARATUS AND  
METHOD OF SETTING AN  
OPERATION MODE OF THE SAME

)  
)  
) Group Art Unit: 2115  
)  
) Examiner: Mark A. Connolly  
)  
)  
) Confirmation No.: 7845  
)  
)

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97(b), Applicant brings to the attention of the Examiner the listed documents on the attached PTO SB/08 Form. This Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) is being filed concurrently with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in the above-referenced application.

Copies of the listed foreign and non-patent literature documents are attached. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider the listed documents and indicate that they were considered by making appropriate notations on the attached form.

The foreign patent document listed in this IDS was cited in a Notification of Reasons for Rejection ("Notification") from the Japanese Patent Office in a counterpart

Japanese Patent Application No. 2005-112352. A copy of the Notification is also enclosed. Applicant does not endorse the conclusion set forth in the Notification.

In lieu of a statement of relevance or translation of the non-English documents, an English language version of the Notification citing the document and setting forth the relevance thereof is enclosed. Applicant also notes that the Notification also cites and discusses JP-11-110085. However, since Applicant previously cited this document on April 7, 2005, it is not listed on the attached listing, and a copy is not provided.

This submission does not represent that a search has been made or that no better art exists and does not constitute an admission that each or all of the listed documents are material or constitute "prior art." If the Examiner applies any of the documents as prior art against any claims in the application and Applicant determines that the cited documents do not constitute "prior art" under United States law, applicant reserves the right to present to the office the relevant facts and law regarding the appropriate status of such documents.


Applicant further reserves the right to take appropriate action to establish the patentability of the disclosed invention over the listed documents, should one or more of the documents be applied against the claims of the present application.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Statement, please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: October 31, 2007

By:   
Wenye Tan, Reg. No. 55,662